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Introduction

I received the education of a Tongan woman, not that of an American or Australian. My early education, almost exclusively through the medium of the Tongan language, was focussed upon membership of a large extended family in a small Polynesian island kingdom. I subsequently entered the foreign cultures of New Zealand and the United States of America primarily through their educational institutions but also, in 1970, through marriage to an American. These new systems and the insights they provided were very different from my own. Thus I am a product of both Tongan and western emphases: what Horton (1967) refers to as "closed" and "open" predicaments. Because I operate sometimes in one and sometimes in the other, the experience has often been painful.

Learning to teach

As a student teacher in New Zealand, I was exposed to several theories of teaching and learning, many of which centred on the importance of the individual child. Texts about child development discussed important stages in a person's development, much of which did not seem meaningful to me, although I had to learn it and try to apply it to classroom practice. There seemed to be little opportunity then to openly question the theories and associated assumptions concerning child development suggested in the text.
books, although I had a lot of questions inside my head. I decided to accept most of what I learned at teachers’ college; after all, my tutors were learned people, with several years’ experience in classroom teaching. I spent much of my time in college making aids, because I realised even then, that once I was back teaching in Tonga, I would have very little spare time in which to do this.

A teacher in Tonga

Time spent teaching high school in Tonga was characterised by conflicts and compromises. At first, I had to revise some of the ideas I had learned about teaching and learning. For example, public praising (in class) was supposed to be positive reinforcement, but I knew deep down that because such a technique would cause embarrassment to my students, it would be better to do so through written comments on their papers. I also knew that just as I had responded positively to teachers who took a personal interest in my welfare, my students would do the same to me if I showed interest in what they considered important to them. I therefore went about cultivating relationships with my students that extended to the sportsfield and other non-academic contexts. For this aspect of my task, I had to rely on my early upbringing as teachers’ college did not offer much; there I had simply learned to impart knowledge and skills in my special subjects.

Further education

As a postgraduate student in California in the early 70s, I was again exposed to a variety of educational styles and viewpoints. In the area of curriculum studies, my tutors introduced me to the worlds of Bloom, Tyler, Dewey and Taba. I learned many things about the curriculum process and curriculum development. I learned about the rational as opposed to the ad hoc method of developing a curriculum.

I also learned that the sources of important/necessary knowledge and understanding for curriculum development lay in the disciplines of psychology, sociology and philosophy from which several viewpoints and theories were analysed and evaluated and this time, I was able to ask
questions. My thesis, a critical analysis of the Tonga social studies curriculum, suggested a framework for teaching social studies based on a problem-solving model. I presented the Ministry of Education with a copy. As expected, there was no reaction from the Ministry about my various suggestions, except that a close friend working there later wrote and said, "Konai, you don't really believe that teachers here would be able to do the kinds of things you're suggesting? They don't share the kinds of beliefs that you have."

Me, a Teacher Educator?

My friend's words were to remain with me for a long time, and resurfaced in the early 80s when I was in Tonga to work on a curriculum project. I had been visiting teachers in various high schools who were trialling new social science materials. During one of these visits, I observed that the teacher had written a lot of things on the blackboard, and students were busy copying these in their notebooks. Upon closer examination, I discovered that the notes were actually taken from the teacher's guide and included several words, which, in my view, Form 2 students would find difficult. At the end of the period, I tactfully asked the teacher why he had given the students the notes which were meant for the teachers.

"You see", he said, "the students need notes, otherwise they might feel that social studies is not important."

"But what about the level of English? There are words there that are too difficult for them," I suggested.

"That's all right," he assured me. "I'll explain everything to them tomorrow..... in Tongan."

I came away having learnt an important lesson; the need to know what teachers (and students for that matter) consider to be important, for them. As a Tongan, I had some idea of the kinds of things which Tongan people believe to be important, and which might influence their collective behaviours. I had worked with many teachers on various projects and noticed the difference in their response when I spoke to them in Tongan instead of
English, or when I referred to something they were familiar with in order to illustrate an idea. I decided to make Tongan teachers the focus of my doctoral study.

Lessons learned

On the completion of my study, I was convinced that many of the difficulties relating to teaching and learning in Tonga (and perhaps in other PICs) are derived largely from the kinds of conflicts that arise in the process of translating foreign ideas and the difficulty of conceptualising these ideas. I also realised that the study itself constituted an educational process, because what I had written moulded my view of education as well as expressed it.

Belief systems and people's behaviour

It was A. Coombs (1969) who claimed that the system of belief that "help providers" hold about people was a crucial variable in their effectiveness with clients. Seaberg (1974:9) also maintained that both the goals and roles of the teacher need to emerge from a belief system about people and that the teacher's roles need to be congruent with his goals.

As most of you know, much of the content as well as the methods of our formal education systems have been and continue to be based on mainly western rather than indigenous belief systems. Let me refer to three of them.

The first is Freudianism. Based on data from the emotionally disturbed, Sigmund Freud (1856-1939) drew attention to the unconscious mind and its influence on human behaviour. The prime emphasis of this view was on the early influences and life's direction was to be determined at a very early stage (about 5 years of age). This subjective and deterministic approach viewed both learner and teacher as being controlled basically by unconscious drives. Repressed desires and unfulfilled needs lead to conflicts which are resolved through insight which is usually gained through therapy. This theory suggests that people are motivated to fulfil unmet needs buried in their unconscious (Seaberg, 1974:9).
The second viewpoint is Behaviourism. Also deterministic, this view emerged in the 1900s in an effort to make the study of people objective and scientific. Rejecting the subjectivity of Freudianism, behaviourists asserted that personality can be viewed only as a sum of outward, observable actions. Skinner, a Harvard psychologist, popularised behaviourism, which suggests that a person is not free, and ethics, values and morals are relative and are learned as a result of conditioning influences in the environment.

In "Beyond Freedom and Dignity" (1971) Skinner advocated that a person should not be freed from control but that the kinds of control to which he is exposed should be analysed and changed. Good classrooms would therefore be those where the teacher decides what behaviours and values are good for children and for society and through reinforcing these behaviours, produces a person who will behave well towards himself and others.

The third view is sometimes referred to as the Third Force of Humanistic Psychology. A combination or blending of existential philosophy, phenomenology and "growth" psychology, this view has led to what is often referred to as the Human Potential Movement, and has heavily influenced many educationists including some here at this university. This view, centred on the individual's needs, goals, achievements and successes, is often associated with names like Maslow, Rogers, Fromm, Allport and Coombs. A contributing view to the Third Force is the phenomenological one, which views behaviour as determined by the person's phenomenological field: the external environment together with the acting person. In this area, perception is the key to understanding individual behaviour and motivation.

My study of Tongan teachers partly reflected a phenomenological viewpoint. However, I also made some assumptions which were similar to those made by cognitive anthropologists, namely that:

1 culture is central to understanding human beings;

2 a cultural group has a unique system of perceiving and organising the world around them;
most of the cultural knowledge of a group is reflected in its language, especially in meaning;

it is more important to find out what is regarded as appropriate behaviour than to concentrate only on observable behaviour; and finally,

it is useful to see parts of a culture as they are conceptualised by members of that culture.

Tongan teachers’ role perceptions

I wish now to briefly summarise Tongan teachers’ perceptions of their role as these relate to Tongan cultural values and notions of education. In doing this, I wish to acknowledge and emphasise the importance of knowledge and understanding of indigenous belief systems not only in the contexts of teacher education in the Pacific Islands, but also in furthering our understanding of formal education processes, their evolution, and especially the people who are involved in them.

It is my view that if we accept the definition of education as worthwhile learning, then at every point in our teaching and learning, we need to ask questions about the relevance of what we are doing in relation to the cultural contexts in which we find ourselves.

In my study of Tongan teachers, I found that the majority of them regarded their role primarily in moral, social and intellectual terms, in that order. The most important thing for a teacher was to be a good example for pupils, a role model. This was seen to be in line with a general concern for appropriate behaviour in the contexts of Tongan culture and reflected in the Tongan notion of *poto* (knowing what to do and how to do it). The significance of learning to be Tongan, motivated by cultural expectations and later by an introduced religion, was reinforced by the idea that to be *poto*, or educated, is to be able to usefully apply *ilo* (knowledge) for the collective good.
The purpose of *ako* or formal education, therefore, was to become *poto*. Intellectual concerns exemplified in the teaching and learning of new and what often seemed meaningless subjects, were important in so far as they provided the knowledge (*ilo*) necessary for becoming successful in school. Such success was seen as necessary for gaining employment and thereby fulfilling one's *fatongia*, or obligations to one's family, country and God. Such a utilitarian view of education has implications for what we are doing in teacher education.

Tongan teachers’ perceptions of their role and their emphases on the social and moral aspects of it, may be explained by what I identified as major valued contexts of Tongan thinking: in other words, certain emphases which may be said to characterise the behaviour of Tongan people as a group. These include: respect for rank and authority; conformity to rules, concern for specifics rather than generalities, emphases on kinship and interpersonal relationships, importance of the supernatural, *’ofa* (compassion) and restraint behaviour.

It may be interesting to note that primary and secondary teachers differed but only slightly in the relative weight they gave to the importance of learning social and moral values as opposed to school subjects. Perhaps with time, more teachers will tend to view their roles in less diffuse ways, tending to resemble those of more industrialised nations as reported by researchers such as Musgrove and Taylor (1969). Perhaps as Tongan society becomes more westernised and commercialised, with more of the teaching force educated outside of the region, we can expect further changes in teachers’ role perceptions.

Implications for teacher education

What can be drawn from these findings that may be useful for us as teacher educators? Please permit me to make some suggestions.

1. We need to re-examine our teacher education curriculum, in order to make sure that we are not denying our students certain knowledge and skills which they would need in order to be effective in their job.
We do not need to look too far in order to see the overwhelming emphasis on the teaching of academic subjects and the relegation of areas such as art, music, physical education and cultural and religious studies to "minor" status. It would appear that some of us might have failed our teachers and their students through our continued overemphasis on teaching subjects rather than on the preparation of people who can meet the challenges of particular contexts.

As people, involved in the preparation of teachers, we need to ask some basic questions of ourselves, including:

a. What are my basic beliefs about human nature and the motivation of behaviour? How are these different from those of the students?

b. How are my beliefs acted out in my own teaching role? What are my students' beliefs and how are these acted out in their learning role?

c. How are my beliefs reflected in the goals which I have set for myself and for my students? Are these beliefs consistent with those of the cultures from which the students come?

I have no doubt that the answers to these questions would reveal some basic differences among ourselves as well as within ourselves. I suggest that these differences need to be addressed and if possible, resolved. Otherwise we may be denying ourselves as well as our students, knowledge and understanding that might help us teach and/or learn better.

Culture as a source of solutions

I suggest that we look towards the source - our cultures - for some answers to questions about teaching and learning: about the content as well as the method of teacher education. If we are willing to do so, we might be surprised by some interesting findings, relating to learning in traditional settings. These may include:
Learning through observation and imitation rather than verbal instruction.

Learning by doing rather than learning from talk and demonstration.

Learning in real-life situations rather than from abstractions.

Learning wholes rather than sequenced parts (for example, learning a song by listening to the whole song rather than learning the different parts of a song separately).

Learning skills and understanding for specific contexts; (in other words, learning specifics rather than general principles).

Learning is person-oriented, not information or subject oriented; in other words, focussing on people, rather than issues and information (Harris, 1984).

The concept of Kakala and its relevance to teaching

I wish to conclude by looking towards the source myself. What I find is the metaphor of kakala. The Tongan concept of kakala in my view, embodies elements of both western and Tongan notions of education and for me at least, exemplifies my view of education in general and teacher education, in particular.

Kakala simply means fragrant flowers. But kakala also has an interesting origin. For Tongans, kakala does not mean just fragrant flowers but also fragrant fruits, leaves and wood which have mythical or legendary origins. In the light of this interpretation, rose or the rose might not be considered a real kakala because of its more recent introduction to Tonga by Christian missionaries.

Kakala is very important in the context of my culture; not only have they been "socialised" into the culture, but they have been "ranked" just as people are ranked. Furthermore, when they are strung or woven together into garlands, the end products are themselves ranked. The different ways of stringing kakala and the patterns used have been standardised and have remained almost unchanged over the years. There exists a full and sophisticated vocabulary as well as an elaborate etiquette associated with kakala.
The relevance of the metaphor of kakala to teacher education may be reflected through the three main processes associated with it: namely toli, tui and luva. The first of these is toli, or the gathering of fragrant flowers/leaves etc. This process demands not only knowledge of the materials with which to fashion the kakala, but also skill in how to obtain these: for example, how to pick certain flowers without damaging them; how to store them so that they do not lose their fragrance and freshness, etc. The second aspect of kakala relates to the actual making of the garland or tui. With this too, special knowledge and skills are required. The method used in making a kakala often depends on a variety of considerations, including the desired type, the occasion for which the kakala is to be worn as well as considerations of the maker, bearer or wearer of the kakala. The final process is luva, or the giving (away) of the kakala by the wearer or bearer, to somebody else, for kakala is never retained or kept indefinitely by the wearer; it is always given away.

In Tongan traditions, especially in music, dance and poetry, kakala takes on an added significance - as a symbol of respect (faka’apa’apa) as well as ‘ofa (compassion or love). The love song or hiva kakala is made the occasion for love; for the recognition of the beauty and power in the creator of love. For me, teaching involves gathering (toli), making (tui) and giving (luva) of knowledge, skills and values, motivated by faka’apa’apa and ‘ofa.

As teachers we owe it to ourselves and our communities to once more look towards our cultures, (the contexts in which the task of educating future generations is carried out), for clues to some of the questions that vex us today. We need to share this cultural knowledge with those who come to help us but who may not yet know us, so that they too would better understand the context in which we work and which give us sustenance.....

for we cannot let our silences
again keep us apart
mortgage our identity
or even sell our pride
we do not want to suffer pain
privately at the end
because we know deep inside
we’ve only ourselves to blame
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